## For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	NML CAPITAL, LTD.,	No. CV 12-80185-MISC JSW
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE BRIEFING MOTION
9	v.	FOR RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE ORDER
10	THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,	NONDISPOSITIVE ORDER
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	Now before the Court is the motion for relief filed by Plaintiff NML Capital, Ltd. from	
14	Magistrate Judge James' Order regarding their motion to compel discovery from Chevron	
15	Corporation. The District Court may modify or set aside any portion of a magistrate's ruling or	
16	non-dispositive pre-trial motions found to be "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Fed. R.	
17	Civ. P. 72(a); see also, e.g., Grimes v. City and County of San Francisco, 951 F.2d 236, 241	
18	(9th Cir. 1991). A ruling is clearly erroneous if the reviewing court, after considering the	
19	evidence, is left with the "definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed."	
20	United States v. U.S. Gypsum Co., 333 U.S. 364, 395 (1948).	
21	Having carefully reviewed the motion for relief, and considered Plaintiff's arguments,	
22	the Court hereby ORDERS Defendant to file a response to the objections by no later than	
23	March 29, 2013. Should Plaintiff wish to file a reply, it shall do so by no later than April 5,	
24	2013.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	0

Dated: March 18, 2013

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE